

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/810,677	03/29/2004	Kevin Francis	2004P05181US	1231	
75	90 03/14/2006	EXAMINER			
Siemens Corporation			HEPPERLE, STEPHEN M		
	perty Department	ART UNIT	DADED NEW OFF		
170 Wood Ave	nue South	ARTUNII	PAPER NUMBER		
Iselin, NJ 088	30	3753			

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		···	Application No.		A 1: 4 (- \				
Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/810,677		FRANCIS ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Stephen M. Hepperle		3753				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ars on the cover sheet	t with the co	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENE WHICHEVER  Extensions of time after SIX (6) MOD If NO period for re Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD F IS LONGER, FROM THE M may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ply is specified above, the maximum s thin the set or extended period for repl by the Office later than three months in adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA's of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS COMMU  (a). In no event, however, may  apply and will expire SIX (6) N  ause the application to become	NICATION y a reply be time MONTHS from the ABANDONED	ely filed  he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) file	ed on							
2a) ☐ This action	• • •		ection is non-final.						
′=		·—		atters, pros	secution as to the	e merits is			
•	) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Cla	nims								
_		annlication							
	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-6,10,13-15,17 and 18</u> is/are rejected.								
	are subject to restri	=	election requirement.						
			o, contain of an ornaria.						
Application Pape									
• •	ification is objected to by the		_						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	may not request that any obje			-					
·	nent drawing sheet(s) includin	=	•						
11)∐ The oath	or declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	miner. Note the attach	hed Office	Action or form PT	TO-152.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (			No(s)/Mail Dat	te	2.450			
	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Date <u>7/27/04,1/20/06</u> .	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice 6		atent Application (PTC	J-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/810,677

Art Unit: 3753

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 10, 13-15, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kilgore et al. in view of Singleton. Kilgore shows a housing 20 with inlets 210 and outlet 212, and a divider 30 comprising a diaphragm that holds a retainer 304. The retainer has a seat at passage 60 seen as a base portion, an intermediate portion above surrounding the passage, and an upper end portion holding at least one smaller diameter aperture 606C. The seat seals against closure member 64. Singleton teaches the use of a diffuser screen 9 between a valve and seat unit and the outlet of a valve to help reduce noise. The screen includes a multitude of very small "segments" to form multiple flow paths, each having a smaller flow area than valve seat 5 or upstream apertures 8. It would have been obvious in view of Singleton to place a diffusing screen anywhere between the valve generating the noise (any location above ball 64 in Kilgore that doesn't interfere with the ball and seat) and the valve outlet, to help suppress noise. It would have been obvious to make the passages in the screen smaller than the Kilgore aperture in order to suppress noise, as a diameter larger than the aperture would have no noise impact. Regarding the recitation of a woven screen, it is well known to form a surface having many small holes of wire mesh as a convenient and inexpensive expedient. The method claims are seen as met by the combination. Note that Singleton was published under PCT on 24 February 2000.

Claims 7-9, 11-12, and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Luthe and Hekkert show other diffusers between a valve and housing outlet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Hepperle whose telephone number is 571-272-4913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stark Repecte
Stephen M. Hepperle
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753